The New-York Times.

VOL. VII.....NO. 1910. NEW-YORK MONDAY, NOVEMBER 2 1857 PRICE TWO CENTS.

LATE AND IMPORTANT FROM UTAH.

ARRIVAL OF MORMON EMIGRANTS IN NEBRASKA.

Departure of a large Force from Salt Lake City to Arrest the Progress of United States Troops.

From Our Own Correspondent.

CAMP CURT, N. T., Thursday, Oct. 29, 1857.

News had just reached here from our frontier Mormon settlement upon the Loups Fork of the Platte River, near the mouth of Monroe, and known as the Mormon settlement of Mormonia, (about one hundred miles inland from here,) that some renegade Mormons, or outriders from the Mormon Church, during Sunday last, Deacons of Salt Lake, had reached that settlement a few days since, bringing the news that a large force of the Mormon militia, under Brigadier Young and Hosea Stout, with provisions and ammunition for a six months' campaign, in the mountains to the westward, and close on the Snake of possible, the presence of all the Indian tribes, under Mormon influence, and the positive determination of the Church to resist, with its whole strength, all armed troops, with the intention of establishing a government that will allow no United States officers, and no United States troops, to enter the Territory, for any purpose whatever. This movement, the militia of Utah say, they consider more than an ordinary drill, and a number of regiments would probably undergo a course of drill, with the understood object of the States. The Mormons feel confident of destroying the force sent against them this year, and, with their next Spring's militia organization, expect to stand a regular fight against the whole United States available force, and, if successful, then establish themselves as an independent Government.

Between Fort Kearney and the Valley there are three or four men traveling almost endlessly at night and under the guidance of one of the party—a thoroughly mountain man—guarded the regular travelled route, among no troops or Settlers, and carrying the mail in the aforementioned days. They, and all the prisoners now with whom I have conversed, state that in the event of a stand being taken in favor of the mountain girdle this side of Salt Lake, they can cope easily and successfully cope with one hundred and fifty United States soldiers. And who knows these mountain passes and mountain gorges better than the Mormons? This report also brings the somewhat expected news that many of the Indians in the from Southern Oregon and Utah were unusually preparing to join the Mormon forces.

Whether these last are prior to our Government in power in the event of a war against Utah, and that is to adopt as far as possible, the Ranger mode of fighting and call into service frontier men—men who are used to all manner of hard life, and can meet more successfully the Indian mode of warfare which will be adopted by the Mormons. One company of mounted riflemen or infantry, taken from the cabins of the far West, will do more service and stand hardships better than four companies of Uncle Samuel's "bright buttons"—or "squares," as they are derisively termed by the Indians. Let the War Department, with its capital and efficient head, look well to this matter. Let Alabama, Mississippi, Virginia, many years ago, over which he presided as Captain, if I recollect aright, and who did militia duty under his orders—six feet in their stockings—would be do more service in frontier fighting than four times that number of regulars.

Nearly all the Banks of Nebraska have "dried up," to use a California phrase. They number some seven, I believe. The effect, of course, is bad. But upon all classes, and had it not been for the very large crops raised here and in Western Iowa, the frontier would ere six months—unless the panic subsided in the East—have been solicited aid from abroad. As it is, all moves along quite comfortably yet here; the place reminds one of Goldsmith's deserted village. With the real estate agents, "Othello's occupation's gone." Property sells for nothing, because there's no money to buy. Everything in the shape of trade is dull—almost dead. The Western Exchange Bank, of this place, is struggling into an existence, again, and they promise in sixty days more, at least, to render justice to their dupes. But all confidence here in paper money is gone.

We have had a few "flurries" of snow during the past two weeks, and Winter is "setting in." The Fall has been a favorable one for the farmers. The Post Office in the Territory are doing a comfortable business with prepayment stationeries.

FIRE IN BROADWAY—NARROW ESCAPE.—At 2½ o'clock on Saturday morning a fire broke out in the third story of No. 419 Broadway, occupied below as stores and in the upper part by two families and a Daguerreotype. The fire commenced in the bedroom of Mrs. [illegible] Wasserman, and before she could get out of the way, she being sick, burnt her quite severely about the face, neck and hands. The loss to Dr. Wasserman was about $200, which is covered by insurance of $1,000 in the Guy's Fire Insurance Company. Thomas S. Gillam, a blind man, and his wife occupied a portion of the same floor. The most of their furniture was destroyed, their loss being $200. No insurance. Mr. Hanson, ambrotypist, occupied the fourth floor and sustained considerable damage from fire and smoke. The stores on the first floor were more or less damaged by water, and also the stores adjoining on each side. The damage in each case was fully covered by insurance.

The fire originated from Mrs. Wasserman having lit a lamp to take some medicine, and leaving it burning on the bureau in her bedroom. She was awaked by the crackling noise of the fire, and had not time to dress herself. In endeavoring to get some valuables from her person thereafter, she was burned. Her husband being absent at Watertown, Jefferson County, Capt. Tompkins and Mr. Banks (Fire Marshal) took charge of her, and had her conveyed in a carriage to the house of her friends in Howard-street.

Gillam, the blind man, had a narrow escape. Officer Rowland found him endeavoring to escape, but in his blindness he was going towards the fire. The officer conducted him down stairs in safety, as also a man named Watson, who was nearly suffocated by [illegible]. Gillam is the blind man who sells [illegible]

Forgery, 2; Forgery, 9; Grand Larceny, 29; Burglary, 9; Felonies, 7; Robbery, 6; Murder, 5; Manslaughter, 2; Witness, 5; False Pretenses, 5; Arson, 4; Rape, 4; Horse Steal, 2; Abandoning Sailor, Cruel, 2; Total, 98.

Recently, 2; Forgery, 9; Grand Larceny, 29; Burglary, 9; Felonies, 7; Robbery, 6; Murder, 5; Manslaughter, 2; Witness, 5; False Pretenses, 5; Arson, 4; Rape, 4; Horse Steal, 2; Abandoning Sailor, Cruel, 2; Total, 98.

Two years ago, in the Tenth avenue, James Kenyon was still also be tried for the murder of James McMahon, by beating him with a cart-rung. Patrick Tracy will be tried for murdering the death of his wife Catharine Tracy, will be tried for manslaughter, and Mrs. Cahill, whose husband was recently sentenced to [illegible] in the State Prison for bigamy, will be tried for the death of her child on Sept. 7.

Mr. Second trial is removed to the Supreme Court Circuit, and will take place durin the month

---

LATEST INTELLIGENCE

By Telegraph to the New-York Times.

By the American Telegraph Co.'s Lines—Extra No. 2 Wall-street.

MARTIAL LAW IN BALTIMORE.

Issuance of the Proclamation—Volunteer Companies Refusing to do Duty—Arrest from Virginia—The Proclamation to be Withdrawn—Withdrawal of the Proclamation.

BALTIMORE, Saturday, Oct. 31.

The streets are thronged with people in the neighborhood of the Mayor's office and the police station. There are many rumors, and all sorts of speculations.

The Governor still adheres to his proclamation.

The citizens of the respective companies report that they will refuse to obey the orders of the Mayor, only under the orders of the Governor. Out of thirty companies, twenty-four have thus declared themselves. Two companies have been taken out of the armory of the Second Artillery last night. One was afterwards captured by the police, but the other is still missing.

The feeling against the Governor is still very great, and the Mayor and police have had great difficulty to preserve the peace.

RICHMOND, Va., Saturday, Oct. 31.

Gov. Wise has sent 2,000 muskets to Baltimore, in compliance with the request of Gov. Lucas.

WASHINGTON, Saturday, Oct. 31.

Governor Lucas, of Maryland, to say that the application for the contingent use of the Federal forces in Baltimore, on the day of election there, was made by a Committee from that city. His name was not mentioned in the dispatch to the Associated Press.

From the Baltimore papers of Saturday.

Lieut.-Col. McALLISTER, we learn, called on the Mayor yesterday afternoon, and informed him that his regiment would obey the order of Gen. SCHLEY to hold itself in readiness, but would await the orders of the Mayor before going into service.

Adjutant Wm. H. SWAN, of the First Light Artillery regiment, also resigned yesterday, refusing to respond to the order of Gen. SCHLEY.

Major-General SCHLEY issues a Division order, calling out the First Light Division of Maryland Volunteers, in obedience to the Governor's Proclamation, and also inviting citizens disposed to support the supremacy of the laws are invited to enroll themselves in the several companies attached to the Division.

The *American* of Saturday says: "We learn that most of the Volunteer Companies composing the First Light Division have declined to obey the order of the Governor, and some of them have temporarily disbanded. Major-General SCHLEY has issued his orders to Brigadier-Generals to call out their respective commands in compliance with the mandate of the Governor, but we learn, has made but little or no progress. Marching, equipping and drilling." There is not one company in existence attached to his command, but headquarters for enrollments have been established in some of the wards. General SCHLEY has issued orders for the 14th Brigade, defining the boundaries of the several Regiments, as follows: 20th Regiment, Fifteenth, Sixteenth and Seventeenth Wards; 51st Regiment, Eleventh, Twentieth and all of the South Ward west of Calvert-street; 53d Regiment, Twelfth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth Wards; 56th Regiment, Sixteenth, Eighteenth and Nineteenth Wards.

Mayor SWANN requested the citizens of Baltimore, by a proclamation issued on Friday evening, not to take part in the mass meeting called for Saturday afternoon, fearing such a demonstration unwise in view of the excited state of the public mind.

Governor LUCAS, it appears, did consult with Mayor SWANN before issuing his proclamation. The following letter was addressed by him to the Mayor on the 27th, and the proclamation appeared on the 28th:

BARNUM'S HOTEL, BALTIMORE, Tuesday, Oct. 27.

Hon. THOMAS SWANN, Mayor of Baltimore—Sir: Representations from a large number of the most respectable citizens of the condition of things in this city, added to my own conviction of my constitutional duty, impose upon me the obligation respectfully to consult you, as the Mayor of the city, as to what provision should be made by you to guarantee personal security and the free exercise of suffrage by the legal voters at the approaching election. The events of October, 1856, both at the Municipal and Presidential election, and the violence at the recent Municipal election which practically disfranchised many thousands of the qualified native and naturalized voters of this city, conclusively establish the inadequacy of the existing city police to secure the elective rights and the personal safety of the voters. The citizen has a right to good government. He surrenders his individual powers of defence, and pays his property thus in consideration of the pledge made that he shall enjoy it. And I am resolute in the determination to exert any constitutional power to fulfill the guarantee.

Subordinately, you are, like myself, sworn in your sphere to put forth your powers in this behalf, and I have come to the city to confer with you and accede to what provision of an extraordinary character you propose to make, to meet apprehended disorders of a character like those which have heretofore successfully defied the ordinary police force of the city. I shall be most happy if you can assure me of any official preparation on your part, which will allay my solicitude, and certify me that the citizen may not have the occasion to reproach us as derelict in duty.

It will never do for a great commercial Metropolis like this to be embarrassed by the unchecked violence of mobs, and it is necessary that the civil power should at once bring under subjection those evil-minded citizens whose acts are disreputable to the honor of the City and State and destroying the prosperity of our commercial, mechanical and manufacturing interests.

Not doubting that you concur with me in these sentiments and will appreciate the sense of official duty from which I invite your codperation, I have addressed you this letter, and ask most respectfully an immediate reply. Very respectfully, your ob't serv't, T. WATKINS LIGON.

(Mayor SWANN's reply to this letter was published in the TIMES of Friday.)

The Baltimore *Patriot* censures the action of the Governor in unmeasured terms. It adds:

"It has been, and still is, our hope that Governor LIGON will find cause to recall his proclamation. We believe this indispensable to the preservation of peace and to the untrammelled right of the elective franchise on next Wednesday."

The *Sun* contains the following paragraphs:—

Yesterday some seven boxes of old military accoutrements, shipped by Mr. SAVAGE, of Columbia, Ohio, and consigned to Messrs. ROBERTS & Co., of New-York, arrived at Camden station on one of the freight trains of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, en route for their destination. They were about being placed on board another train for transmission to New-York, when Mr. EWELARD, the agent at the Camden station, received a letter from the consignee directing the freight to be kept on storage at his expense, he having learned that the consignee had failed. The boxes were accordingly placed in the Company's warehouse on Eutaw-st.

A fearful report was instantly circulated by the idlers in the neighborhood, to the effect that a grand armament had been furnished by the Government at Washington for the use of the citizen military on Wednesday. Quite an excitement was created and a crowd collected. Tweets were made looking to the seizure of the alleged arms by a mob. The keeper of the warehouse, fearing personal violence, the officers deemed it necessary to ask the protection of the authorities, and the Mayor was waited upon. His Honor directed the Chief Marshal to place a posse of police in charge of the warehouse, and no further difficulty is apprehended.

About a quarter before 10 o'clock yesterday morning a party of eight or ten young men went to the armory of the Mount Vernon Guards, at the corner of Baltimore and Frederick streets, broke open the door, and took therefrom some twelve or fifteen rifles, which they carried off. This was no excitement, did any one in the neighborhood know it was going on until the parties were seen to leave the premises with the arms. Several remained on the opposite side of the street, while the others entered the premises. After securing the rifles they proceeded up Baltimore and through Holliday-street, but we could not learn what was done with the guns.

The proceeding was witnessed by several citizens, who supposed that the parties had the right to act as they did, so coolly was it done. In the afternoon the parties returned and carried off more of the rifles, in defiance of several of the members of the Company, who were present. An effort was made on Thursday night to break into the building at the corner of Gay and Front streets, the upper part of which is occupied by several of the military companies as an armory. The door, however, resisted the effort, and they could not gain admission.

WITHDRAWAL OF THE GOVERNOR'S PROCLAMATION.

BALTIMORE, Sunday, Nov. 1.

Governor LIGON has withdrawn his proclamation calling out the military. Negotiations have been going on all day between a Committee of citizens representing the Mayor and the Governor, and finally this evening the Committee issued the announcement jointly that they were authorized to state that the Governor being satisfied that the arrangements already made by the Mayor for preserving the peace and securing uninterrupted exercise of the right of suffrage are sufficient, the military will not be made use of. This day had passed without serious disturbance, although threatened by the friends of the Mayor's office and Sheriff's board were assembled in the afternoon. Throughout the whole day crowds were awaiting the result of the negotiations.

ATTACK ON A ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH IN BALTIMORE.

BALTIMORE, Sunday, Nov. 1.

This morning, before daylight, some miscreants threw bricks at the Church of the Immaculate Conception (Roman Catholic,) situated in the western extremity of the city, and broke a window, and did some other slight damage. The ruffians tolled the bell, and the police pursued the gang, who fled, after firing on the police.

THE LOAN OF MUSKETS BY GOVERNOR WISE.

WASHINGTON, Sunday, Nov. 1.

Another dispatch from Richmond confirms the report of a loan of three thousand muskets by Gov. WISE to Gov. LUCAS, of Maryland. Six boxes containing forty each, passed through this city yesterday. Almost thirty boxes more arrived this morning, and remain at the depot.

News from Mexico and Key West.

NON-ARRIVAL OF THE STEAMER OF THIS WEEK AT HAVANA.

NEW-ORLEANS, Saturday, Oct. 31.

The steamship *Philadelphia* has arrived here with Havana dates to the 28th inst.

The steamship *Star of the West* had not arrived at Havana from Aspinwall when the *Philadelphia* left.

The *Philadelphia* brings to this port $450,000 in specie.

WASHINGTON, Saturday, Oct. 31.

The steamship *Isabel*, at Charleston, brought the mails from St. Thomas. On the passage she experienced a gale which lasted thirty-seven hours. She spoke the schooner *Mary C. Terrell*, from New-York for Texas.

The bark *Corsica*, from New-York, arrived at Havana on the 26th inst.

After the 1st of January vessels bringing cargoes to the Island and taking away molasses will be compelled to pay duties on tonnage.

In Key West the general health was good, the yellow fever having entirely abated.

The British brig *Nola*, before reported ashore, is a total loss.

The fever continues to be fatal in Jacksonville. As the latest accounts there had been 50 deaths there since the 1st of September. The bad Cases gathered over, a day of fasting and prayer had been kept, and the streets were entirely deserted.

The bark *Cora*, from Havana, brought $37,000 in gold to New-Orleans.

New-Arrival at the Indian.

QUEBEC, Sunday, Nov. 1.

The Canadian Screw Steamship Company's steamer *Indian*, with Liverpool and London advices of the 21st ult., four days later, is now fully due at this port, but had not been heard of at Rimouski du Loupe at 6 o'clock this afternoon, and will not, therefore, arrive here before to-morrow (Monday) morning.

Fire in Canada.

TORONTO, Saturday, Oct. 31.

A destructive fire occurred at Whitby, C. W., to-day, but as the telegraph office was destroyed we have been unable to learn the particulars.

BRAND rectifying establishment at Belleville was burned this morning. Loss $4,000.

The James Adger at Charleston.

CHARLESTON, Saturday, Oct. 31.

The United States Mail Steamship *James Adger*, Capt. TURNER, from New-York, arrived here at 4 o'clock this morning.

Frost in Georgia.

AUGUSTA, Saturday, Oct. 31.

The Montgomery mail announces killing frosts in that neighborhood.

Ship News.

Boston, Nov. 1.—Arrived to-day: ship Cincinnatus, Doane, from Calcutta. Barks Speedwell, Howes, from the Cape of Good Hope; Korsevju (Norwegian) from Malaga.

At Cape Town, Sept. 2.—Ship Judith, Brown, from New-York, for Algoa Bay, two days. Brig Noble, Spear, from New-York, discharging.

THE PRICE OF MILK.—MILKMEN IN CONCLAVE.—There was another rally on Saturday evening of the City milkmen at Military Hall, in the Bowery, to compare views, discuss the proposed rise to seven cents a quart, to quarrel about their assessment for the Harlem and Erie Railroads, and to continue to protect the trade. The meeting was announced to be a private one, and some reliers were made to guard against the entrance of reporters; but no difficulty attending their precaution, there was

"A chiel amang 'em takin notes."

The most noticeable item was the general disappointment expressed that the Erie Railroad Company had rescinded their resolution to raise the price of freight on the milk coming over their road a quarter of a cent per quart. This was the moving pretext for raising the price of milk to seven cents per quart. Unless the Company could be induced to stick to their resolution, they would have to trump up other excuses for putting up the price. A portion of the milkmen proposed to send a Committee to the President of the Railroad Company to remonstrate against the rescinding of the resolution aforesaid.

There was great unanimity on the rise to seven cents a quart, regardless of what the Railroads now charged for freight, for it was evident that the price of freight would go up at no distant day, and since the matter had been agitated, now was the best time to combine and put it up to seven cents. Mr. H. had found it worked very well, to vary his price to suit customers; poor people cared more for the quantity than for the quality they received for their money; so when he had sold for seven cents, he gave milk, for six cents he sold milk and cream, and got seven and a half cents for his milk; and when he sold for five cents, he gave 'em cream and milk, and made eight cents per quart for the milk.

This man is evidently a relative of the old lady who purchased milk at six cents a quart, and retailed it at four cents, and when asked how she could afford to do so and live by it, replied that she *did a large business*. Mr. COLEMAN complained that he had been reported as favoring the old man's direction to his son, to "make money honestly if he could; but to make money." This maxim belonged not to him, but to others, though he was in favor of the advance to seven cents.

Extreme measures were proposed to bring the Harlem Railroad to lower the price of freight; but there was no agreement as to the best method of accomplishing that result. The President of the Orange County Milk Association addressed the meeting in favor of uniting the price seven cents, and recited the expenses of the business which, according to his figures, made out a clear case. From another source, however, entitled to credit, the following estimate has an unfavorable look for the shippers:

	cents
Cash for milk in the country	6¾
Railroad freight	1
Delivery	0¾
Waste	0¼

showing a clear gain of one cent per quart when sold at 8¼ cents.

The meeting adjourned without any definite action, except an understanding that seven cents was hereafter to be the price of milk, but leaving each one free to act on the suggestion thrown out of diluting the milk to suit low-price customers.

The Craig and McKibbin Affair at Chambersburg, Pa.

The Chambersburg *Valley Spirit* gives a narrative of the circumstances which led to the recent encounter between Mr. CRAIG and the brothers McKibbin at Chambersburg:

"Some years ago, a son of NATHAN B. CRAIG, Esq., a distinguished citizen of Pittsburg, married a daughter of CHARLES MCKIBBIN, Esq., the well-known and highly esteemed proprietor of the MERCHANTS' HOTEL, and also Colonel Moral Officer at Philadelphia. Owing to alleged misconduct on the part of Mr. CRAIG, his wife separated from him, and went with children to live with her father. About two years ago Mr. MCKIBBIN purchased a farm adjoining a short distance outside the corporate limits of this borough, and removed thither with his wife and the younger members of his family. Some time after Mr. CRAIG and her sister, Miss [illegible], purchased a farm adjoining, or adjacent to that of her father, and moved upon it. Mr. CRAIG, it seems, was frequently discharged, hitting instantly a little daughter of the same family.

"About last summer, the young Misses MCKIBBIN were informed by their grandfather that Mr. CRAIG had threatened to whip him, and sent out to McKinney's. Mr. MCKIBBIN also vowed by heaven, (this does sound as if his heart was with the rest of Mr. CRAIG's children to see their father,) with whom they spent a happy hour at Jefferson.

"Mr. MCKIBBIN likewise came to town himself, for the purpose of preventing any difficulty, and indeed his sons, whose feelings towards Mr. CRAIG were known to him, to accompany him out to the farm. They went, but unfortunately learned from a little boy, who had returned, that his father had left for town, and so returned them in haste. Mr. MCKIBBIN quietly counselled himself to calm his excited sons; and after consulting with them, we are informed, he had come to the conclusion that his wise counsels would be followed. Contrary to his expectation, however—and whom he did not expect it—the young men returned to town.

"Hon. JOSEPH C. MCKIBBIN, the eldest of the brothers, is a Member of Congress from California. He sends to the Philadelphia *Press* a full statement of the affray, and corrects errors in the published statements:

PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 30, 1857.

Col. JOHN W. FORNEY—SIR: Immediately after the difficulty at Chambersburg, I returned to our farm, and have not, until this morning, received the newspaper versions of the affair. It appears that nearly all the information upon the subject is derived from one of the parties implicated, and therefore to be taken with much allowance. In regard to those statements gathered from parties who could have seen but little of the affray, or most of which received in the excitement of the moment, I presume your publication will serve me, a statement of the whole affair. My younger brother and myself first were aware of the presence of CRAIG at Chambersburg about 1 o'clock in the day. My father, apprehensive of our return to town, imposed upon us the most positive injunction that we should not do so until after the departure of the cars, (from minutes after 3.) Owing to the fact that our mother was coming down with some relatives at 4 o'clock we gave him the required promise. I then stated to my father that, from the statements of CRAIG made to many parties, (that he expected to hunt whenever we met,) I believed that he had come himself, instead of sending his son, in order to irritate and provoke me, and while I would comply with my promise, yet if CRAIG stayed an hour after the departure of the cars, he did so at his own peril; that I would not and could not submit to the degradation of his presence around a house that he had made desolate.

"When we supposed the cars had started, my brother and myself proceeded to await the arrival of my mother and her friends, started to town. Within a short distance of the depot, Reuben remarked the cars had not started. No words passed between us, no plan of fight; no consent of any kind. We walked up, passing the first car, and [illegible] to the second, my brother remarked, 'there he is.' I looked in the window, discovered CRAIG at the opposite side, and about four or five feet from me. I should have kept him there, but it has always been my determination to open the fight face to face, should we come in collision.

"I told Reuben to remain quiet, and walked back some ten or fifteen paces to the front of the car; I remained there, I should say, about a minute, or four or five paces, when the sound of a shot, I believe, from REUBEN, brought my pistol up; I do not know who fired first, CRAIG or myself, but my impression is that it was almost simultaneous. I was standing during the first three of my own shots, erect. In the course of the car, as near as I can recollect, that I could not take aim, but in the time of cocking and aiming, he should take a deliberate shot, as he was armed with self-cocking revolvers, able to fire several shots to one. During this time he was almost entirely protected by the seats, shooting in a stooping posture. From where I stood to the back end of the car there was but one person beside CRAIG and myself. I may have passed others in entering the car; if so, and I understand that it is the case, excitement and irritation, not wantonness, made me forget that I was perilling other lives. After the above, CRAIG and myself both retreated toward the doors—he to the back one, I to the front, (this is the pursuit mentioned.) I believe we exchanged shots at the door. I am not certain, but it is said my last shot was fired outside the car; I stood upon the platform of the car, supposing I had expended my last shot, and the only use I could make of my pistol, and the use I did make, was to intimidate. When CRAIG, after leaving the back end of the car, jumped on a pass of wheels, and Reuben arranged a shot—three or four—each of them partially protected by the columns of the porch.

"CRAIG fired two shots along the car while I was watching his proceeding, but which did no damage, as I took my head out of the way. He then jumped on his end of the car and down on the other side, which was the last I saw of him. Thinking he had run around the depot, we went around so as to meet him and prevent him getting at us unaware. It was there I saw Mr. JAMES RILEY, whose name is used to substantiate the reports in the Harrisburg papers, and who does not endorse their statements. I asked him, from him and dress, and presided my pistol at him, but as a second likewise my own. My brother and myself walked up town to relate, not thinking CRAIG was hurt, or that he would be able to get off on the cars. We heard at the gunsmith's that CRAIG was wounded, and we were not arrested, but voluntarily gave ourselves up. The above, in all essentials, is a correct statement.

[MR. MCKIBBIN goes on to correct the statement published in the Harrisburg *Herald*, and adds:]

In conclusion, I desire to say, that I make this publication simply from misstatements that prejudice others than myself. Had I killed him under any circumstances, or in any way, it would be no atonement for the past. I do not think myself justifiable in risking my life as I did in order to take his. If every son of my father had combined to destroy him, there is not an honest heart that would not say the provocation was more than sufficient. My self-respect—that is more to me than any public opinion—fully, entirely acquits me in all that I have done; and for my brother, who, in all probability, saved my life, I know he has the approval of his conscience—the respect of all who cherish the sanctity of their home.

JOS. C. MCKIBBIN.

CRAIG is confined to his bed by his injuries. The pistol ball in his groin cannot be found. Hopes of his recovery are entertained. His wife and his father-in-law have been in attendance upon him.

NEW-JERSEY.

Trial of Frederick Cueva for the Murder of Oscar De Granval—Sixth Day.

At 9½ o'clock the examination of Dr. VARICK was continued, but elicited nothing new bearing on the case.

After the examination of various witnesses, who testified to the peaceable character of CUEVA, the evidence in the case was closed, and Mr. LITTELL, the District-Attorney, summed up, occupying over three hours.

Judge OGDEN briefly addressed the Jury as to their duty during the interval between the adjournment and the opening of the Court on Monday, reminding them that they had only heard the summing up upon one side, and advising them that it was their duty to the prisoner not to discuss among themselves the matters presented to them, or to allow their minds to form any opinion until they should hear the other side. The Court was then adjourned to 9½ o'clock Monday morning. Mr. SCUDDER (for the defence) will speak next. He will be followed by Mr. ZABRISKIE, and Attorney-General DAYTON will close for the prosecution.

DEATH FROM BRANDY AND WATER.—On Saturday afternoon, about 6 o'clock, five men were found on a raft near the Elysian Fields, Hoboken, in a state of insensibility, with their bodies nearly submerged in water. It appears that they left New-York in a small boat to go fishing, placed themselves upon the raft—one end of which was attached to the peach—for this purpose, and while there became stupefied from the effects of liquor, lay down, and were found as stated, with the waves breaking over them. Four of them, HIRAM MYERS, BENJAMIN PARSELL, THOMAS GOWAN and JAMES MURRAY, were soon restored to consciousness, but the fifth, WILLIAM H. S. SMITH, died Saturday evening.

A rumor that prevailed in Hoboken yesterday, to the effect that MURRAY, who had been removed to the house of one of his relatives in New-York, had been given up by his physician, is believed to be without foundation.

IMPORTANT FROM WASHINGTON.

Governor Walker and the Administration.—The Latter to be Forced to Indorse the Former.

The Maryland Controversy between the Governor and Mayor.—What is to be Done.

From Our Own Correspondent.

WASHINGTON, Saturday Night, Oct. 31.

The President could not be seen yesterday by anybody, so that it was impossible to obtain directly from him any information in relation to the views of Governor WALKER's policy in Candace.

The statement that members of the Cabinet, apparently the most conservative, are opposed to Governor WALKER's policy, is entirely unfounded, and those who have allowed to have expressed them to the editor of the TIMES, which, however, is not to be regarded as the accredited organ of the Administration.

If the removal of Gov. WALKER is contemplated by the President, it has been decided upon without Cabinet discussion, for no such consultation has been had since the arrival of the Cabinet members. Of that I have the most positive information.

A portion of the Cabinet have been opposed to Gov. WALKER's policy from the beginning, and Mr. CASS is understood to be especially in favor of his removal. It is supposed by some leading Democrats with whom I have conversed, that the rumor of removal has grown out of remarks made by the Secretary of the Treasury, to which undue significance was awarded.

Others denounce it as a "feeler," thrown out by the President, to elicit an expression of public sentiment, North and South. If this be the object, it is likely to be eminently successful. Already it has produced great excitement, and some of the President's warmest friends, Southern as well as Northern men, are denouncing any such policy as the removal of WALKER, in unmeasured terms, as unjust and suicidal.

Even those who are opposed to WALKER say that his removal, for the cause assigned, will be fatal to the Administration and the Democratic party. If the story is true, what is to become of the President's friends in the South, who, through the Press and elsewhere, have been struggling so manfully to sustain him before the people in his support of WALKER and his policy? Their sudden betrayal, while necessarily leaving them into opposition to the Administration, must disgust even the fire-eaters, who will justify accept the treason, but despise and distrust the traitor.

This is the general sentiment as I find it in conversing with Democrats; and all of them concur in the belief that the story is utterly unfounded. If true, we may expect to witness a hopeless state of disorganization in the House of Representatives on its assembling.

Said one gentleman—an administration man—today: "It cannot be that the President has removed or will remove Governor WALKER. In San Francisco, when they catch ballot-box stuffers, they hang them, and public sentiment sustains the act, and it will uphold Gov. WALKER or any other man who refuses to become party to a fraudulent election, but exposes and repudiates the fraud wherever he finds it."

Hon. P. P. STANTON, Secretary of State of Kansas, has not been here, for he is reported. The statement that he was in town was from the fact that a stranger has been here for a few days past, who somewhat resembles him in personal appearance.

The War Department has received the resignation of Captain DANIEL LEADBETTER, of the Corps of Engineers, to take effect on the 31st December next.

Advices have been received announcing the death of Lieutenant THOMAS WILLIAMS, 1st Lieutenant, at Fort Randall, Nebraska Territory.

LATER AND MORE DECISIVE—GOVERNOR WALKER HAS NO IDEA OF REMOVAL.

WASHINGTON, Sunday, Nov. 1.

The President is much annoyed by the published rumor relative to Governor WALKER's removal. He says that it is erroneous, and that there is no intention to remove him. I am confirmed in the conviction that the story originated in the denunciations of WALKER indulged in by a Cabinet member, who desires his repudiation.

It is evident that there has been a strong influence in the Cabinet in favor of discountenancing WALKER's movements, in order to make fair weather with the fire-eaters, but the President has overruled them.

Secretaries CASS and THOMPSON charge him with violating his instructions, but the event will expose their error. He can have had no instructions inconsistent with the law, and that has bound him to secure an election by the people of Kansas in legal form. *The President will never publicly condemn him for refusing to become a party to the Oxford fraud.*

But Governor WALKER did not even technically go behind the election returns, as charged, as will be seen by his proclamation. He rejected the returns for fatal informalities there, and his denunciation of the frauds was incidental merely.

The new troops have been raised evidently for the purpose of intimidating WALKER, to induce him to resign, but his friends here say that he will never resign. The President was not informed of WALKER's inaugural before the latter left Washington, and alterations were made in it by his suggestion. This can be proven. It is too late, therefore, to attempt to shirk the responsibility, and throw it upon WALKER's shoulders and sacrifice him.

President BUCHANAN has no disposition to yield to the pressure of some of his associates and to commit so suicidal an act. The mere rumor of such an intention created intense excitement here.

Letters received here indicate WALKER's probable rejection by the Senate, unless the Republicans sustain him.

An informal application was made to the President yesterday, for arms to be used by the Maryland militia in preserving order on election-day, under Governor LUCAS's order, but the President declined. He said that the arms might fall into the hands of the mob and then he would have to send troops to retake them.

The Maryland Troubles—Governor Ligon and the Mayor of Baltimore.

WASHINGTON, Saturday, Oct. 31, 1857.

The pending conflict of authority between the Governor of Maryland and the Mayor of Baltimore excites universal interest here among all classes, and a great deal of solicitude is felt in relation to the probable result. The controversy briefly stands thus: Mayor SWANN, a gentleman of cultivation, great energy of character and force of will, and with executive abilities quite equal to the position which he holds, was elected to the Mayoralty by the "American" Party, with whose political tenets he heartily sympathizes. The rowdyism of Baltimore has been a disgraceful reproach for many years, but recently it has developed itself most alarmingly under the banners of various semi-political clubs, all the more unfortunate because these associations are based upon nationalities, and the native American citizen is arrayed in active armed hostility to the foreign born or those who contend in behalf of equality of political rights for the latter. As a result, elections in Baltimore have become little else than mockeries. In districts where Know-Nothing rowdies have control, no opponent can find his way to the polls except at the risk of his life; and in other districts the most ruffianly means are availed of by "Democratic" organizations to deprive of their suffrages those who differ with them in sentiment. An election has thus become a synonym for riot, bloodshed and murder, until peaceable citizens are constrained by fear to yield the contest to the decision of clenched fists and Colts revolvers.

The case is taught on both sides no just man ca[n]

Obituary.

Hon. GEO. A. SHEPARD, M. C., from Essex County, died at Keeseville on Friday. Mr. SHEPARD was elected to Congress in 1852; was a Member of Assembly from 1846 to 1848, and represented Essex County in the Constitutional Convention of 1846. His age was 56.

Personal.

Hon. MOSES TENNEY, State Treasurer of Massachusetts, has sued the Boston *Traveller* for libel, laying damages at $20,000. The libel is alleged to have been published in an article reflecting upon Mr. TENNEY's official conduct.

We understand that Mrs. FREMONT is expected in this City by the Arrival from Europe of the French packet now due, and Colonel FREMONT is also expected to arrive from California in the *Star of the West*. Mrs. FREMONT returns in consequence of illness of the illness of Colonel BENTON, who, however, is now recovering.

LAW INTELLIGENCE.

COURT CALENDARS—MONDAY, Nov. 2.

Supreme Court—Nos. 45, 255, 268, 623, 438, 408, 158, 408, 481, 482, 501, 42, 626, 643, 332, 196, 507, 508, 14, 268, 620, 163, 619, 644.

Common Pleas—[There will be no trial term for Nov.

CASE OF MRS. WOODMAN.

SUPREME COURT—Special Term—Before Justice Vanderpoel.

Monday, Oct. 31.

Mr. Brady said it was rather difficult for one who was not a relative, and had not been employed in the case, to know what course to pursue. He was not aware that any motion had been made in the matter, and he was not prepared to argue it. He would, however, submit to the decision of the Court, and if it was the opinion of the Court that the motion should be granted, he would not oppose it.

Mr. Busteed objected.

Mr. Brady stated the objects for which he offered the affidavits.

Mr. Busteed objected.

Mr. Brady proposed to read the affidavits in support of the motion.

Mr. Busteed objected, that it could not be done at present, as the proper papers had not been served. An opportunity was necessary for opposition to be given to the other side.

Mr. Brady—Nobody spoke of his being a cousin, brother, or relative of Mrs. Woodman, as stated in the affidavits. He was not a relative; and the fact stated had been made by mistake.

Mr. Busteed objected, that the affidavits could not be read, as the proper papers had not been served.

Mr. Brady—Well, you are nobody. [Laughter.]

The Judge returned to grant Mr. Busteed's order.

Mr. Busteed—Yes, Sir, I did.

Mr. Brady—Then I withdraw my motion for the present.

Mr. Busteed then read the following letters in answer to the affidavits of the other side:

I hope to post it soon.

Yours, &c.,

[Written October 31.]

Letters of Mrs. Woodman to Mr. Matthews.

Mr. Dear—Mr. Brady has been to see me, and I have been to see him. He has been kept in an insane asylum by Mr. Woodman, as he told me, "to revenge." He said "he would do all he could to make me miserable and injure you." He would not let my mother see me in New-York, or permit her to write to any member of my family. My brother called at my lodgings; I saw him through the window, but they told him I was not there. It would please him I should, I am sure, have gone to his unkindness and cruelty to me. It did not think it would be hospitable for me to call upon one of Woodman's family for six months. Were sick and you rally of the offence, and sentence you to Penitentiary for six months. Were sick and you rally of the offence, and sentence you to Penitentiary for six months. Were sick and you rally of the offence, and sentence you to Penitentiary

THE DAY'S DOINGS.

Kansas Affairs—Governor Walker and the Administration.

Governor WALKER and Secretary STANTON, it seems, are not to be removed just now, at least on account of having rejected the "atrocious and felonious" constitution of bogus-Calhoun-Lecompton memory. The President, it is said, has determined to stand by them, and to support their action in the premises. Yesterday afternoon, at the Office of the Governor, a long conference took place between the President, Governor WALKER, Secretary STANTON, Attorney-General BLACK, Secretary CASS, and Senator DOUGLAS, at which the whole Kansas question was discussed in all its bearings, and the policy to be pursued by the Administration definitively settled upon. It is understood that the President has come to the conclusion that the Lecompton Constitution was not the act of the people of Kansas, and that they should have an opportunity of passing upon it at the polls. Governor WALKER, it is said, has given the President the most positive assurances that a fair vote will be polled and counted at the election in June, and that the Free-State men will roll up such majorities as will settle the question of the admission of Kansas as a State beyond all cavil or dispute. Should the Lecompton Constitution be rejected, Governor WALKER will be allowed to proceed with the organization of the State Government, and Kansas will be admitted into the Union at once. Should the Lecompton Constitution be adopted, Governor WALKER will resign, and Kansas will be left to her fate. The Administration, it is said, will not attempt to force the Lecompton Constitution upon the people of Kansas, but will insist upon their having the right to vote for or against it

THOSE WHO DESIRE TO SUPPORT

JAMES H. WELSH

FOR REGISTER.

Are respectfully notified that the head-quarters of his friends will be at No. 113 Nassau-st. on Monday and Tuesday, where they may be found, ready and willing to do all in their power to secure their judicious votes on election day.

It would be at least one man on every 5 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 20 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 50 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 100 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 200 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 500 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 1,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 2,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 5,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 10,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 20,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 50,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 100,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 200,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 500,000 in each election district of the City.

There should be at least one man on every 1,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 20,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 50,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 200,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every 2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 in each election district of the City.

It would be at least one man on every

PERSONAL.

HOUSES & ROOMS WANTED.

HOUSES TO LET.

BOARDING.

HELP WANTED.

WATCHES, JEWELRY, SILVER AND WATCHES.

DANCING.

Foreign Trade at the Port of New-York.

Also a weekly statement of the imports entered for consumption, the exports, and the specie movements, and the Bonded Goods remaining in public stores, with the exception of Rhode Island, in addition to the monthly statement of the Bonded Goods in our last paper, to which we refer for the year. Over two-thirds of the Dry Goods remain, $3,651,315 the week ending this day, against $1,981,110 the week ending the corresponding week last year. The Exports of the week were $1,804,528, against $1,253,[illegible] the week previous, and only $116,000, against $1,521,944 the corresponding week last year. The Exports of specie exceed those of exchange to a large amount, the Export call is full on the season. The clearances of the week were only $116,000, against $1,521,944 the week previous, and only $116,000, against $1,804,528 the week previous, and only $116,000, against $1,804,528 the week previous, and only $116,000, against $1,804,528